

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,040	09/23/2003	Young-Wook Kim	71110/RSM	5051
5	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard S. Milner			VINCENT, SEAN E	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 0
	10/670,040	KIM ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean E. Vincent	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period f r Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres.	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple find period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commur ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2004.		
_	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10 and 16 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to I drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	$\langle \rangle$		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Application/Control Number: 10/670,040

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1-9 and 11-15 in the reply filed on October 14, 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 10 and 16 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atwell et al (US 5358910) in view of Becker et al (US 5616650).
- 4. As discussed in the applicants specification (page 3, lines 4-9), Atwell et al taught methods of making porous ceramics from preceramic polymers and ceramic powder (see col. 1, line 66 to col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 51-57; col. 5, line 23 to col. 6, line 21). Atwell et al did not

Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

include hollow microspheres. Becker et al taught that similar preceramic polymer compositions were commonly combined with solid and hollow filler materials (see col. 12, line 51 to col. 13, line 41). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use hollow microspheres or 'bubbles' of various sorts together with the ceramic powder filler in Atwell et al because Becker et al taught that it was well known in the art. The expandability of the spheres would have been possible because Becker et al taught that hollow sphere or bubbles used as fillers were made of different materials including glass, metals and polymers.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited to further show the state of the art.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E. Vincent whose telephone number is (571) 272-1194. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00).
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
 Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/670,040

Art Unit: 1731

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Sean E Vincent **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1731

Page 4

S Vincent December 24, 2004